

## Kemmer Algebras and Rotation Groups

ERIC A. LORD\*

*MATSCIENCE, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences,  
Madras-20, India*

Received 16 March 1972

### Abstract

Some previous results indicating a connection between the Kemmer formulation of meson theory and the group of rotations in six dimensions are generalised. A correspondence between the irreducible representations of the Kemmer algebra  $K_N$  and the skewsymmetric tensor representations of rotations in  $N + 1$  dimensions is established.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\gamma_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, 5$ ) be the five Dirac matrices, satisfying

$$\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (1.1)$$

Define  $\gamma_6 = -i$  and use capital Latin indices for the six-fold indices, thus

$$\gamma_A = (\gamma_\mu, -i)$$

We define

$$\bar{\gamma}_A = (\gamma_\mu, i)$$

which is analogous to the concept of 'quaternion conjugation' in the Pauli algebra. Define the sets of matrices

$$1, \gamma_A, \gamma_{AB} = \gamma_{[A}\bar{\gamma}_{B]}, \gamma_{ABC} = \gamma_{[AB}\bar{\gamma}_{C]}, \dots, \gamma_{ABCDEF} \quad (1.2)$$

The matrices (1.2) are not linearly independent. The sets occur in 'mutually dual' pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{123456} &= i \\ \gamma_{12345} &= i\gamma_6 \\ &\dots \\ \gamma_{12} &= i\gamma_{6543} \\ \gamma_1 &= i\gamma_{65432} \\ 1 &= i\gamma_{654321} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and we also have all the relations that follow from (1.3) by permutation of the indices 12... 6 with a minus sign introduced for odd permutations. Thus

\* Present address: Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-12, India.

each matrix of the set  $\gamma_{AB\dots}$  with  $n$  suffixes is equal to  $i$  times one with  $6n$  suffixes. The set  $\gamma_{ABC}$  is self-dual:

$$i\gamma_{123} = \gamma_{456} \quad (\text{and permutations})$$

so that it contains  $\frac{1}{2}\binom{6}{3} = 10$  linearly independent matrices.

Further, any matrix with an *even* number of suffixes is contained in one of the two adjacent *odd* sets, and vice versa: e.g.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mu\nu} &= i\gamma_{\mu\nu 6} \\ \gamma_{\mu 6} &= i\gamma_{\mu} \end{aligned} \right\} (\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, 5)$$

expresses  $\gamma_{AB}$  in terms of  $\gamma_A$  and  $\gamma_{ABC}$ .

Thus two alternative linearly independent sets occurring in (1.2) are

$$(A) \quad \gamma_A, \gamma_{ABC} \quad (6 + 10 = 16 \text{ matrices})$$

$$(B) \quad 1, \gamma_{AB} \quad (1 + 15 = 16 \text{ matrices})$$

The matrices  $\gamma_{AB}/2$  and  $\bar{\gamma}_{AB}/2$  (where  $\bar{\gamma}_{AB} = \bar{\gamma}_{(A}\bar{\gamma}_{B)}$ ) are easily shown to be generators of two inequivalent irreducible representations  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  of rotations in six dimensions. The coefficients of a  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $A$  expressed as a linear combination of the set (A) transform like a vector and a rank 3 self-dual skewsymmetric tensor under the transformation law  $A \rightarrow SAS^{-1}$  and the coefficients of a matrix  $B$  expressed in terms of (B) transform as a scalar and a rank two tensor under  $B \rightarrow SBS^{-1}$ .

These ideas are easily generalisable to  $2^v \times 2^v$  matrices (rank 2 spinors) in  $2^v + 2 = M$  dimensions; we can associate with a skewsymmetric tensor of rank  $k$ ,  $\phi_{A_1, \dots, A_k}$ , a rank two spinor

$$\Phi = \phi_{A_1, \dots, A_k} \gamma_{A_1, \dots, A_k} \quad (A_1, A_2, \dots = 1, \dots, M) \quad (1.4)$$

We discussed only the case  $v = 2$  for the sake of simplicity. A more general treatment is given elsewhere (Lord, 1972).

## 2. Generalised Kemmer Equations

Let  $\Phi$  be a rank two spinor in  $M$ -dimensional space, of the form (1.4) (without loss of generality we can take  $k \leq M/2$ ) and let  $p_A$  ( $A = 1, \dots, M$ ) be a vector. Consider the covariant equation

$$p_A \bar{\gamma}_A \Phi = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

From

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \gamma_{(A} \bar{\gamma}_{B)} &= \delta_{AB} \\ \bar{\gamma}_{(A} \gamma_{B)} &= \delta_{AB} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}_A \gamma_B &= \bar{\gamma}_{AB} + \delta_{AB} \\ \bar{\gamma}_A \gamma_{BC} &= \bar{\gamma}_{ABC} + 2\delta_{A(B}\bar{\gamma}_{C)} \\ \bar{\gamma}_A \gamma_{BCD} &= \bar{\gamma}_{ABCD} + 3\delta_{A(B}\bar{\gamma}_{CD)} \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_B \bar{\gamma}_A &= \gamma_{BA} + \delta_{BA} \\ \gamma_{BC} \bar{\gamma}_A &= \gamma_{BCA} + 2\gamma_{[B} \delta_{C]A} \\ \gamma_{BCD} \bar{\gamma}_A &= \gamma_{BCDA} + 3\gamma_{[BC} \delta_{D]A} \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

It therefore follows that, if  $\Phi$  corresponds to a tensor with an *even* number of suffixes, so that its transformation law is  $S\Phi S^{-1}$ , the two equations

$$p_A \bar{\gamma}_A \Phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi p_A \gamma_A = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

are equivalent to each other† and to the tensor equations

$$\begin{aligned} p_{[A} \Phi_{BC\dots]} &= 0 \\ p_A \Phi_{ABC\dots} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Of course, if the rank of the tensor is  $M/2$  the tensor will be self-dual and the two equations (2.6) will be the same equation.

If  $\Phi$  corresponds to a tensor with an odd number of indices, its transformation law will be  $S\Phi S^{-1}$  and the two equations:

$$p_A \bar{\gamma}_A \Phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi p_A \bar{\gamma}_A = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

will be equivalent to each other† and to tensor equations of the form (2.6).

Now equations (2.5) can be written (by multiplication with  $\gamma_B$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} p_A \gamma_{BA} \Phi + p_B \Phi &= 0 \\ \bar{\gamma}_B p_A \gamma_{AB} + p_B \Phi &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Adding,

$$p_A G_{BA} \Phi + p_B \Phi = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

where  $G_{AB}$  are the infinitesimal generators for the representation  $\Phi$  of the rotation group. That is, the  $G_{AB}$  are infinitesimal generators of a completely skewsymmetric tensor representation. Setting  $p_M = m$  and using Greek indices for the range  $1 \dots M-1$  and remembering that  $\gamma_M = -i$  (2.9) becomes

$$p_\mu \beta_\mu \Phi + im\Phi = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\beta_\mu = iG_{M\mu} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\beta_\mu \Phi = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu \Phi - \Phi \gamma_\mu) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma_\mu^T) \Phi \tag{2.12}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma_\mu^T) = B_\mu$  satisfies the defining relations of the Kemmer algebra  $K_{M-1}$

$$B_\mu B_\nu B_\rho + B_\rho B_\nu B_\mu = \delta_{\mu\nu} B_\rho + \delta_{\rho\nu} B_\mu \tag{2.13}$$

† An exception occurs when the tensor has rank  $M/2 - 1$ , in which case the first equation (2.6) can be split into two equations (its self-dual and antiself-dual parts). The pair of equations (2.5) (or (2.7)) are then distinct, and must both be postulated to obtain the whole of (2.6).

it follows that (2.10) is a Kemmer equation. The irreducible set of matrices  $\beta_\mu$  generate an irreducible representation of the Kemmer algebra  $K_{M-1}$ .

The equations (2.7) can be treated similarly. The only change in the argument is that  $\gamma_{AB}$  is replaced by  $\bar{\gamma}_{AB}$  in the second equation (2.8).

Thus we have established a (1-1) correspondence between the irreducible representations of the Kemmer algebra  $K_{M-1}$  and the irreducible representations of the rotation group in  $M$  dimensions given by completely skewsymmetric tensors. If  $\beta_\mu$  are the matrices of an irreducible representation of  $K_N$ , then  $i\beta_\mu$  and  $[\beta_\mu, \beta_\nu]$  are generators of a skewsymmetric tensor representation of rotations in  $N+1$  dimensions.

TABLE 1

		1	(1, 1)
	1	3	
	1	4	(3, 3)
1	5	10	
1	6	15	(10, 10)

The  $N$ th row in Table 1 gives the dimensionalities of irreducible representations of  $K_N$  (Kemmer, 1943). The bracketed pairs are the 'associated' pairs of inequivalent representations with the same dimensionality. We can also regard the  $N$ th row as a list of the dimensionalities of skewsymmetric tensors in  $(N+1)$ -space. The associated pairs of representations of the Kemmer algebra correspond to the decomposition of a skewsymmetric tensor into self-dual and antiself-dual parts when its rank is half the dimensionality of the space.

We have strictly speaking demonstrated the result only for even  $M$ . However, for the odd-dimensional spaces (even-dimensional Kemmer algebras) the result is easily extended by considering the decomposition of a rank  $k$  tensor under projection and the similar decomposition of representations of Kemmer algebras—each number in Table 1 decomposes to the two entries immediately above it. This generalises the study of equation (2.1) previously given for the case  $M=6$  (Lord, 1971).

Note that putting  $p_M = m$ , which reduced (2.9) to (2.10) gives the equations in the following form when applied to (2.6):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} km\varphi_{\mu\nu\rho\dots} &= P_{[\mu} \chi_{\nu\rho\dots]} \\ m\chi_{\nu\rho\dots} &= -p_\mu \varphi_{\mu\nu\rho\dots} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_{[\mu} \varphi_{\nu\rho\dots]} &= 0 \\ p_\mu \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\dots} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.15)$$

where  $k$  is the rank of the tensor, the Greek indices are  $(M-1)$ -fold and  $\chi_{\mu\nu\rho\dots} = \varphi_{M\mu\nu\rho\dots}$ . Equations (2.15) are just simple consequences of (2.14). Equations (2.14) are the ones given by Kemmer. When  $2k = M$  the  $(M-1)$ -

dimensional tensor  $\varphi_{xyz\dots}$  is the dual of  $\chi_{xy\dots}$ , or *minus* the dual of  $\chi_{xy\dots}$  corresponding to whether  $\varphi_{abc\dots}$  is self-dual or antiself-dual, and corresponding to the two associated representations of  $K_{M-1}$ .

### References

- Kemmer, N. (1943). *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 39, 189.  
Lord, E. A. (1971). *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 5, No. 5, 339.  
Lord, E. A. (1972). *J. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 40, No. 2, 509.